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Abstract. The paper presents the tool HarmonICS designed for automated composition of medical services and implementing our approach to description and composition of web services. HarmonICS enables arranging sequences of services to satisfy a user's request specified by a query. The query language is rich enough to express requirements on the timing and the ordering of services used.

1 Introduction and Related Work

We present a new tool for automated composition of web services (WS) related to the medical domain. The tool implements our original approach [7] to WS composition, based on introducing a uniform semantic description of services, an object model for the problem, and applying a multi-phase composition supported by model checking methods. The planning process aims at satisfying a user's goal, specified in a declarative language, which enables not only to express features of the objects, but also requirements on the timing and ordering of services occurring in the plan.

The WS composition problem is a very important subject of research for which many various solutions exist. The simplest ones are based on explicit state space search algorithms [16], while more advanced ones employ a graph-based planning [5], logic programming [14], an AI planning [13, 11], model checking methods [10, 12], and genetic algorithms [3]. Vitvar et al. [17] proposed a solution based on WSMO/WSML [15] formalisms. While the fundamental ideas of their concepts seem similar to ours, it is important to mention that our approach is simpler and thus much easier to implement.

Our considerations follow that of Ambrożkiewicz [1], which provides a specification of an automatic composition system based on a multi-phase composition and uniform semantic descriptions of services. However, several extensions like enriched descriptions of services or a hierarchic organisation of services and objects they operate on, have been additionally designed. Doing all that, we keep the semantic base as simple as possible, which aims at enabling a translation of the WS composition problem to a problem solvable by means of efficient methods and tools from other domains.

\* Partly supported by National Science Centre under the grant No. 2011/01/B/ST6/01477.
The first "general" implementation of our approach (system Planics) was described by Doliwa et al. [8]. The tool Harmonics to be presented here is, on one hand, an extension of Planics due to incorporating new theoretical solutions, while on the other hand it is its specialization to a particular domain. In addition to the SAT-based planning method inherited from Planics, Harmonics offers also a new specialized SMT-based solution. The SMT-based concrete planner has been developed in response to insufficient performance of the previous solution in some particular cases. The bottleneck was a translation of TADDA-P\(^1\) to SAT in the presence of a large number of conditions imposed on the variables, especially these "expensive" ones, e.g., using modulo operator.

In addition to introducing the SMT-based planner, our contribution consists in developing several extensions of the underlying formalisms, which are discussed in the next section together with the theoretical background of our approach. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Sec. 3 introduces the main features of our solution, and gives an overview of the system implementation. Finally, a summary and a comparison between Harmonics and Planics are provided in Sec. 4.

2 Theory behind Harmonics

Our approach to automated composition of WSs is based on introducing a unified semantics for functionalities offered by services. A service is understood as a function which transforms a set of data into another set of data. The sets of data, i.e., inputs and outputs of services, are described in terms taken from a "dictionary" of types, introduced by an appropriate ontology. Each ontology follows the standard object model with classes, objects as their instantiations, and attributes as their components. More precisely, both the services and the items they operate on are organized into a multiple inheritance hierarchy of types, the top of which is composed of the following classes: Thing of no attributes and its descendants: Object, Service, and Trace.

Below we explain the meaning of the branches rooted at the three descendant classes of Thing mentioned above. An example fragment of the ontology tree is presented in Fig. 1, where the solid arrows stand for the inheritance relation. We embed our explanation in the context of medical services considered in the paper. Therefore, we use the names from Fig. 1 as examples, but, in fact, all the nodes below Object, Service, and Trace are domain-dependent, and even for a "fixed" domain they can vary depending on the modelling assumed.

The branch of classes rooted at Object introduces "types of beings", Patient, Diagnosis, Therapy, that are necessary to specify what the services operate on, together with the "features" of these beings expressed by their attributes. For example the class Patient has the attributes First_name, Last_name, Address, Date_of_Birth, Diagnosis etc., having a clear intuitive meaning.

The branch of classes rooted at Service introduces types of services - Visit, Treatment, Registration. The attributes of the class Service, inherited by all its descendants, are as follows: in, out, inout, preCondition, and postCondition. The first three of them are aimed at listing objects (classified by names and types, similarly to subprogram parameters) which, respectively, are required to execute the service (in), are produced by
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the service (out), and are taken as an input and returned modified (in out). The aim of \textit{preCondition} and \textit{postCondition} is to specify respectively the conditions which should be satisfied by the "input" objects to have the service started and the conditions the "output" object satisfy after the service has been executed. For example we can express that the services of the type \textit{Visit} modify an instance of \textit{Patient} by placing \textit{p:Patient} in the \textit{inout} list, and require a visit to result in a diagnosis by placing \textit{isSet(p.Diagnosis)} in the \textit{postCondition}. The values of the attributes common for all the services of a given type are specified in a special instance of the corresponding class, called an \textit{abstract service}. The \textit{concrete services} of a given type (instances of the class representing this type) can introduce their own extensions to the attributes above. For example, the concrete service \textit{GeriatricianSmith} of type \textit{GeriatricianVisit} can require his patients to be older than 85 by extending the common \textit{preCondition} by \textit{p.Date_of_Birth < "1927-12-31"}. A more detailed description of the above elements of ontologies can be found in [7].

A new concept introduced to Harmonics is shown as the third branch (from the left) of the inheritance tree in Fig. 1, i.e., the class \textit{Trace} and its descendants. The instances of the above classes, called \textit{Traces}, are "virtual products" (not corresponding to real-world beings). The \textit{out} list of each service contains exactly one element corresponding to a trace, e.g. \textit{t:Trace}. The main motivation behind \textit{Traces} is a need for dealing with imperative queries, when the user precisely points out to the types of services to be executed, just like in most of the considered medical scenarios. Moreover, \textit{Traces} enable to associate the services types (and also their concrete instances) with attributes like price, duration, location or quality, without affecting the existing structure of the language.

The attributes of the class \textit{Trace} are the following: \textit{level}, \textit{block}, \textit{serviceType}, and \textit{serviceName}. The first two of them aim at storing an information about a position of the service in the scenario generated, while the next two are used to identify the service executed. For example, if the service \textit{GeriatricianSmith} is the first of the scenario, then the attributes of the trace \textit{t} produced by this service are \textit{t.level}=0, \textit{t.block}=0, \textit{t.serviceType}="GeriatricianVisit", and \textit{t.ServiceName}="GeriatricianSmith".

\textit{Traces} enable to express certain requirements on sequences of services, both on the level of service descriptions and while specifying users goals. For example, \textit{SurgeonVisit} can require seeing a general practitioner earlier by including \textit{x.Trace} in its \textit{in} and \textit{x.ServiceType}="GPVisit" in its \textit{preCondition}. The descendants of \textit{Trace} can intro-
duce additional information. For example, a class TimedTrace with the attributes start and stop brings in time of the service execution. PriceTrace with the attribute price provides information about the service price, while LocationTrace with the attribute location introduces the information about the place where the service operates.

The user specifies its goal in the form of a user query, which defines what he “possesses” (the initial world) and what he “wants to possess” (the effect world), together with these of their features that are of his interest, using names of the classes from the branch rooted at Object and names of their attributes to this aim. For example, the user John Gold can specify that possessing “nothing”, he wants to possess the object p:Patient with p.First_name=”John” and p.Last_name=”Gold”, which means that he wants to become a patient. The goals can be also specified in terms of traces (i.e., names of the classes from the branch rooted at Trace). This enables to express that the user wants the scenario generated to contain a service of certain type (e.g., by specifying that the effect world should contain t1.Trace such that t1.ServiceType=”SurgeonVisit”) or a service of a concrete provider (e.g., by extending the above requirement by adding t1.ServiceName=”SurgeonSmith”). Traces enable also to require a given ordering of services in a plan (by the use of the level attributes), or a given ordering of groups of services (by the use of the attribute block) - for example, one can require the effect world to contain t1,t2:Trace such that t1.ServiceType=”GPVisit”, t2.ServiceType=”SurgeonVisit” and t2.level<t1.level, i.e., to see a GP after seeing a surgeon. Using other types of traces enables to influence the cost of services proposed, their time, location etc.

Our project follows the idea of separating two phases of the planning process. The first phase of searching for a sequence of services whose execution satisfies the user’s goal is called the abstract planning. It involves searching for sequences of types of services, which can transform the set of objects of the initial world into the set of objects of the effect world. The user’s query is redefined to discard all the expressions involving concrete values of the attributes. For example, p.Last_name=”Gold” is replaced by the requirement that the corresponding attribute is assigned a value - isSet(p.Last_name).

The abstract planning process is based on the bounded backward search algorithm, which starts from the final world and matches abstract services (special instances of service classes described before), which are capable to produce a desired set of objects (with the appropriate attributes set), building this way a graph whose nodes are sets of objects, and the edges are labelled with service types. This “preliminary” phase enables to limit the number of concrete (real-world) services considered while creating the final scenario as only these of appropriate types will be taken into account. Obviously, in the case of queries involving traces the role of the abstract planning phase is limited. The user can specify fragments of the abstract plan “by hand”, using the appropriate attributes of traces. The next phase of the planning process, called the concrete planning, aims at finding a sequence of instances of service types (concrete services) corresponding to an abstract plan obtained from the previous phase. Contrary to the abstract planning, this phase takes into account all the requirements specified in the query, i.e., also these involving concrete values of attributes. The planning process exploits an SMT-based model checking procedure, which is discussed in the next section.
3 Main Features and Implementation of Harmonics

Harmonics is a scheduling system that has been implemented for the Rehabilitation and Cosmetology Centre (CRIK) in Poland. The centre offers various types of medical services for its direct clients as well as for other medical facilities. The definitions of needs and possibilities of satisfying them are specified by a relatively complicated semantics. Additionally, availability of certain resources in many cases can be determined only dynamically, by querying external independent data sources. Before implementing Harmonics, due to the lack of IT solutions, the querying process was performed in an "unformalised" way, i.e., by phone or by e-mails. The knowledge obtained this way could not be processed automatically. The most important conclusions from the analysis of the functioning of CRIK, and from the users’ expectations are as follows:

- The main goal of the system is to make the scheduling of treatments easier and more convenient, and also to automate some internal procedures,
- The most common case is to schedule a series of treatments w.r.t. patient preferences and resources restrictions,
- The single steps of the whole process can be realized by various service providers cooperating with CRIK,
- Some aspects of the abstract and concrete planning processes should be significantly adapted to meet the specific CRIK requirements.

The implementation of Harmonics, presented in the next part of this section, was designed to satisfy the above requirements.

The overall view of the Harmonics components is presented in Fig. 2. The ontology designed for CRIK was discussed in Sec. 2. The main software components of the system are as follows: the Repository, the Graphical User Interface (GUI), and the Planner. The aim of the Repository is to store information about the available services and their types (according to the ontology). Currently, it is implemented on the top of jUDDI - a popular UDDI implementation. GUI is a GWT web application that enables the user

![Fig. 2. The Harmonics overview](image)
interaction with the system components. The Planner is a set of tools (represented by rectangles in the figure) for processing user queries (Query parser), creating plans (Abstract and Concrete planners), and interacting with the repository and with the web services (Querying and Execution modules). The rectangles with the right-bottom corner wrapped depicted in the figure correspond to the internal system objects. They are labels of the solid arrows which stand for a flow of objects. The dashed lines represent making use of some resource by a software component.

Let us now follow an example scenario, while giving more details concerning the implementation of individual components. First, using the Query editor (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3), the user introduces a request, e.g., I want to take a partial massage, once a week, for 10 weeks, and then a series of 5 diadynamics, every 2 days. The user drags arbitrary services from the ontology tree at the right hand side and drops them to blocks of a plan at the left. The blocks are intended to enforce the order of an execution of the services. Each block of services is scheduled for execution when all of the services from the previous block have been completed. Putting some services in the same block means that they can be executed in an arbitrary order. Each of the services chosen can be parameterized by assigning a set of constraints, e.g., repeat conditions or specific requirements on the service date, time or location. The user should also specify an acceptable timing interval, providing the earliest start date and the latest end date of the whole sequence of services. The editor enables to hide the query language from the user offering a friendly and intuitive interface instead. The query of a formal syntax is produced in an automated way. For example, considering time interval from January, the 1st to the end of March of the current year, the formal query is as follows: FROM null WHERE null TO repeat(0:TreatmentTrace, 10, every 1 weeks), repeat(1:TreatmentTrace, 5, every 2 days) WHERE _globalStart=“2012-01-01 00:00” and _globalStop=“2012-03-31 23:59” and t0.serviceType = “PartialMassage” and t0.block = 0 and t1.serviceType = “Diadynamics” and t1.block = 1. As it is easy to see, the requirements on the service types and their ordering are expressed in terms of traces.

It should be mentioned also that the repeat statement is one of the novelties (comparing with [7,8]) introduced to respond to the specificity of the domain, where the common case is to repeat some kind of treatment a number of times. Optionally, the repeat period can be given, just like in the example above. This construct makes editing of the query easier, as the user does not need to choose a service several times if he wants to repeat it. The user query is then processed by the Query parser, transformed to
the internal representation, and made available to the Abstract planner and the Concrete planner (see Fig. 2).

The Abstract planner uses the knowledge from the OWL ontology and the query (rebuilt by discarding the concrete values as described before) for generating abstract plans, which are visualized and presented as sequences of service types. The user is asked to choose one of them to be concretized. Due to the fact that specificity of the area implies the queries to have a more imperative nature than in a typical case (the users typically enumerate directly the services they want to use) the role of the abstract planning is not so fundamental. However, using the knowledge from the ontology can introduce to the plan services not required directly by the user. In our example, the abstract planner returns the sequence of service types: Registration, 10 occurrences of PartialMassage, and 5 occurrences of Diadynamics. The Registration service, although not required directly by the user, is necessary in the plan as it “produces” a Patient, required by all the treatment services but not existing in the initial world.

Next, basing on the abstract plan and the user query, the Repo & WS querying module (RQM for short) examines the repository for the registered web services realising the types of services from the abstract plan. In our example the repository will be asked: “Give addresses of all the services of the type PartialMassage, and of the type Diadynamics”. After getting an answer the RQM queries for offers the web services obtained (where by an offer we mean a service’s declaration to execute under certain conditions). In our example the services will be asked: “Give the dates and time, between 2012-01-01 00:00 and 2012-03-31 23:59, when the treatment procedure can be performed” (the query contains no other constraints than these on the time period to be considered).

The next step is to run the Concrete planner. Its input are as follows: the (original) query, the abstract plan chosen to be concretised, and the offers collected for this plan (a single offer corresponds to a possible realisation of a single step of the plan, i.e., executing one service of a given type). It is possible to run this planning using one of the two methods. The first one, inherited from Plansc [8], is based on a satisfiability checking (SAT). The new one is realized by a translation to an instance of the SMT [2] problem. An SMT-solver checks satisfiability of the formula which is the conjunction of the disjunctions representing particular offers, and an expression encoding the conditions specified in the query (e.g., repeat period constraints) and resulting from the abstract plan (e.g., the order of services). If this SMT instance is satisfiable, then a sequence of concrete services, whose execution satisfies the user’s goal is decoded from the valuation returned by the solver. Going into more details, the attributes of the objects and the traces are encoded as SMT variables, and their values are mapped into natural numbers. For example, date-time values from our query are encoded as follows: the beginning of the considered period of time, the _globalStart value, is mapped to 0. All the date-time values are then related to the _globalStart value, according to a certain time scale. Currently the time scale is 5 minutes, which means that the value 10 represents the point in time 50 minutes after _globalStart. The SMT instance is encoded (using our original library) in SMT-LIB2 [4] format, which enables to use any compatible SMT-solver. In the current version we make use of the Z3 [6] solver.

In the case of typical queries, involving from a few to several dozens of services, and from several hundreds to about 20000 offers, the total time of computations can
vary from a few seconds to about 30 seconds. The concrete planning phase seems to be
the most time- and memory-consuming element. Table 1 displays some statistics of our
SMT-based solution. The columns headed interval of the left table contain the results
for the query being the working example of this section.

The concrete plan computed is visualized (see Fig. 3) and presented to the user. If
the user accepts it, the Execution module invokes the services. Again, the specificity
of the domain makes things simpler: an execution of a service consists in scheduling
an appointment only, so no execution engine is necessary. Obviously, always something
unexpected can happen. At the moment we follow the simple transactional policy: when
any step of the plan could not be successfully executed, we cancel all of the already
scheduled appointments, and the user can repeat either the WS querying and concrete
planning phases, or the whole planning procedure.

4 Final Remarks

Harmonics is a specialized implementation of the concept which can be applied to vari-
ous domains, enabling to build an integration system for distributed services of a com-
onmon characteristic (e.g., transport, accommodation, reservation in time). More generally,
a similar system can be implemented in every domain in which we have to plan an
access to some resources with an independent management and optimize the plan by
customized quality measures.

Comparing Harmonics to its ancestor Planics [8], we can point out to an easier and
more natural handling of relations between services thanks to the concept of Traces. An-
other advantage appears in translating semantics from different IOPR [9] services on-
tology - it is simpler and more natural. On the other hand, a modular architecture of the
system allows to take advantage of a new and more efficient planning solution based on
SMT-solvers. The efficiency follows not only from applying the SMT-based technique,
but also from the extended role of the querying module - the concrete planner deals now
only with those of the parameters whose exact values cannot be determined by query-
ing concrete services. Moreover, the planning mechanism related to the time have been
improved. A further contribution of Harmonics is in an extended language of queries,
allowing to express more requirements occurring is practice. Its new elements are not
only these which follow directly from introducing traces (like specifying requirements
on ordering of services or their groups, or time or price of particular services), but also
expressions enabling to require repetitions of services (the repeat statement) and sum-
mmary constraints on the whole plan (e.g., _globalStart, _globalStop).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offers</th>
<th>Time [s]</th>
<th>Mem [MB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain</td>
<td>interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plain</td>
<td>interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5866</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>117.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10848</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>324.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13241</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>17.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>477.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17721</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>33.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>835.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8281</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12697</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>188.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16561</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>19.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>291.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21253</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>19.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>449.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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